

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: Redetermination of A303 Stonehenge scheme
Date: 11 March 2022 10:59:57

Dear Sir/Madam,

I protest against this scheme.

The 2021 World Heritage Committee's Decision has not yielded any changes in the proposed scheme.

There are alternative bypass routes that are cheaper and less damaging: a southern bypass, for instance, or a longer tunnel.

There should be measures to reduce road traffic and emissions and to improve access to the South West.

Even the Secretary of State said the impact would be 'significantly adverse': our greatest ancient monument would be the worse, and for ever, when we should be preserving our wonderful, foremost archaeological site so that it can survive and be understood and explored now and in future .

The scheme's construction costs have not been updated: is this because they would be so expensive as to make it even more untenable as a project?

The carbon assessment and costs have not been updated, either, even after COP26: Great Britain should be leading on reducing carbon emissions, not adding to them, and this is an urgent requirement. Furthermore, the Environment Act 2021 has mandated new targets for nature recovery, which are nowhere to be seen in this proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Katharine Ridler

[REDACTED]